
From: Lutenski, Leigh (ECN)
Sent: Monday, May 06, 2019 12:26 PM
To: Hong, Seung Yen (CPC)
Cc: Exline, Susan (CPC); Barata, Luiz (CPC); Fisher, Lisa (CPC); Salvadori, Ilaria (CPC)
Subject: RE: Balboa Reservoir Project - Infrastructure Plan Full Draft #1 for review

Hi,

Thanks for the submission. I've passed it along to the project team. The PUC is delayed in getting me their comments, but once they do I will send around the combined set of comments to staff so you can see it – particularly the other departments' comments on the DSG chapter.

In response to your comments below, please see my comments in red. We can continue to discuss all of these items.

Thanks, Leigh

From: Hong, Seung Yen (CPC)
Sent: Friday, May 03, 2019 5:04 PM
To: Lutenski, Leigh (ECN) <leigh.lutenski@sfgov.org>
Cc: Exline, Susan (CPC) <susan.exline@sfgov.org>; Barata, Luiz (CPC) <luiz.barata@sfgov.org>; Fisher, Lisa (CPC) <lisa.fisher@sfgov.org>; Salvadori, Ilaria (CPC) <ilaria.salvadori@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: Balboa Reservoir Project - Infrastructure Plan Full Draft #1 for review

Hi Leigh,

Attached, please find comments from the Planning team. Please note that we highlighted cells that are likely to require discussions among agencies or with the RCP team.

The following is a list of questions and meta comments on the MIP that are not included in our comment spreadsheet. We think it will be more effective to include them in the email, but we will leave it up to you whether you want to include them in your email or in your master spreadsheet to the RCP team.

- Planning's completeness check comments on the MIP have not been fully addressed, and we did not get any response from the RCP why these comments have not been addressed. We've included an updated version of the previous completeness check comments in the attached spreadsheet. **I forwarded them. They did broadly respond to your completeness comments at that time to say that they needed more specificity in order to incorporate them – many of them were discussion items more than specific completeness edits. I've asked them to review the comments again and determine the best way to respond this round.**
- Upon reviewing the revised DSG, which is scheduled to be submitted today, Planning may have suggestions for the inclusion of additional DSG chapters or sections into the MIP. We'd also like to ask other agencies if they want to see any other DSG chapters in the MIP. **OK, please keep this in mind and we'll add it to the June infrastructure monthly meeting agenda. As I mentioned above, I'll send you the department comments on the Streets DSG chapter later this week for your review. I defer to you guys as to how best to incorporate these into the DSG drafting schedule. We should discuss at next meeting.**
- The MIP should include a section in the beginning clarifying the roles, relations, and interactions among the MIP, DSG, and other DA documents, especially regarding the DSG chapters attached to the MIP. (We propose discussing it at the next infrastructure meeting - **OK**)
- We encourage the MIP includes additional sustainability policies and strategies to accommodate more flexibility over time for evolving technologies and markets (e.g. variants). We believe this will benefit the RCP team in the

long run; we'd like to discuss the RCP's approach to sustainable development, including net-zero, district-scale non-portable water system, climate adaptation, etc., at the next infrastructure meeting. We should also discuss how to cross reference the DSG's sustainability chapter and standards. **We can discuss it. As we talked about on the phone, I look to Planning to determine the best way to incorporate flexibility into the document.**

- What level of detail are we expecting? Some of the drawings seem more detailed than other MIPs. For instance, the locations of driveways and bioretention facilities can be more conceptual and diagrammatic than prescriptive. **Do you prefer more or less detail?**

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Have a good weekend!

Seung-Yen

Tel: 415 575 9026

From: Lutenski, Leigh (ECN)

Sent: Friday, May 03, 2019 12:23 PM

To: Petrick, Molly (PUC) <MPetrick@sfgov.org>; Rivera, Javier (DPW) <Javier.Rivera@sfdpw.org>; Kwong, John (DPW) <John.Kwong@sfdpw.org>; Adams, Derek (PUC) <DSAdams@sfgov.org>; Hong, Seung Yen (CPC) <seungyen.hong@sfgov.org>

Subject: RE: Balboa Reservoir Project - Infrastructure Plan Full Draft #1 for review

Hi PUC, Planning, and PW -

A reminder that I am expecting your department comments on the Balboa MIP by COB today.

Thanks, Leigh

From: Lutenski, Leigh (ECN)

Sent: Tuesday, March 19, 2019 5:06 PM

To: Petrick, Molly <MPetrick@sfgov.org>; Paine, Carli (MTA) <Carli.Paine@sfmta.com>; Rivera, Javier (DPW) <javier.rivera@sfdpw.org>; Kwong, John (DPW) <john.kwong@sfdpw.org>; Adams, Derek (PUC) <DSAdams@sfgov.org>; Mike Patt (FIR) <mike.patt@sfgov.org> <mike.patt@sfgov.org>; Andrawes, Kamal (FIR) <kamal.andrawes@sfgov.org>; Hong, Seung Yen (CPC) <SeungYen.Hong@sfgov.org>

Cc: Joe Kirchofer <Joe_Kirchofer@avalonbay.com>; Kearstin Dischinger <kdischinger@bridgehousing.com>; Karen Murray <karen@vmwp.com>; 'Brian Scott' <BScott@BKF.com>; Nora Collins <Nora_Collins@avalonbay.com>

Subject: Balboa Reservoir Project - Infrastructure Plan Full Draft #1 for review

Importance: High

Hello all,

Enclosed you will find a download link to the first full draft submission of the Balboa master infrastructure plan for all staff review. I request that you distribute this to all of your department's reviewing staff and collect all comments using the attached matrix. You will find each department's prior completeness comments included already on the matrix with team responses for clarity. I have also attached a cover letter describing the ongoing discussion for Lee Avenue so that staff is aware.

Download the Balboa MIP here: <https://bkf.sharefile.com/share/getinfo/sfed4f99b1bc40b08>

For those of you that requested hard copies – they are being produced and will be delivered tomorrow in the quantities and to the addresses that you provided me.

Please compile all staff comments within 45 days and reply to me with your comment matrix by **Friday, May 3rd**.

Reach out at any time with questions. I've copied the project team as well.

Thanks, Leigh

Leigh Lutenski
Project Manager, Joint Development
Office of Economic and Workforce Development
San Francisco City Hall, Room 448
Direct: 415-554-6679
Email: leigh.lutenski@sfgov.org